Project Title:		
Project Members:		
•		

Poster Section	Section Elements	Points	Points	Comments
		Value	Earned	
Organization	In narrative form:	3		
	 The name of the hospital or clinical 			
	placement the project is based.			
	The organizational priorities related to a			
	national health care priority			
	 The unit priorities related to the 			
	organization priorities			
	EBP model (name or add picture, if space			
	allows)			
Clinical Issue	In narrative form:	3		
	Describe the clinical issue that relates to			
	the unit or hospital priority. If known,			
	when was the problem identified and			
	how was the problem identified?			
	For example, if falls is the unit issue.			
	What is the current fall rate and what is			
	the unit goal? What data was used to			
	determine it was a problem and when?			
PICO question	Population: Include specifics	3		
	describing the population, as			
	appropriate. Such as: Age, gender,			
	ethnicity, disease, specialty in nursing,			
	etc.			
	Intervention: Explains the nursing			

	intervention or range of interventions.		
	Comparison (optional): Describes the		
	intervention against which the		
	proposed intervention is compared.		
	Outcome: Describes the		
	results/consequences of the		
	intervention in measurable terms.		
Search Methods	Briefly describe:	3	
	Databases used		
	Year range for search		
	Key words used in the search		
Evidence Appraisal	In narrative form:	5	
	Provides the types of evidence found		
	 Provides the types of evidence found 		
	to be of acceptable quality.		
	Has examples of clinical expertise, which		
	include practice guidelines and consensus		
	statements.		
	Able to describe the methods used		
	appraise the evidence.		
Synthesis of Evidence	In narrative form:	5	
	Synthesizes the evidence appraised		
	to be of acceptable quality into a		
	statements with in text citations.		
	Provides a separate reference list of all the		
	evidence used with a rating of the level and		
	quality of evidence for each, either at the		
	bottom of the poster or in a separate		
	document to be turned into their assigned		
	course faculty.		
Current Practice	In narrative form:	4	
	Explains whether the synthesis of		
	evidence reflects current practice and		

	professional standards in nursing. Explains whether the synthesis of evidence is consistent with current practice guidelines and statements made by professional nursing or other organizations. If it is not consistent with what is happening on your unit or within your organization, how is it different? Do you think it is feasible to do in your organization/unit?		
Pilot Study or Change in Practice Protocol	In narrative form, briefly describe: The design your pilot study/change. Consider a plan of care; a care pathway; an algorithm; new procedure; new assessment; a patient ed video. Why will this work based upon what you have seen on your unit(s)and the definition of EBP. Stakeholders: Who do you need to involve with the pilot study to ensure its success? Hospital personnel, etc. Barriers to change: What you have identified via what you have seen or what you have learned Facilitators to change: You have identified via what you have seen or what you have learned. What you would do to introduce the change?	5	
Pilot Study or Change in Practice Protocol Evaluation	In narrative form: Describe how would you measure the outcomes of your pilot of practice	5	

	change (data, surveys, chart review, etc.)? How often would you measure it?			
Dissemination				
Presentation	 During the poster presentation, each member of the team is able to: Explain the different components of the project and encourage dialogue with visitors. Discuss how nursing would implement and evaluate a plan for change based upon the findings in a hypothetical situation. Describe how data would be collected to measure the PICO question or for quality improvement initiative. Team members are professional in appearance and demeanor. 	10		
Poster	The poster is scholarly, creative, accurate, and visual appealing. No or minor errors for full credit.	6		
Team work	 Equal work among team members. Fair and honest peer feedback. Individual members completed a peer evaluation form Students' grades may vary in this category. 	8		
Total		60	/60	